Case 1:07-cv-04262-AKH	Document 1	Filed 03/20/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	<u>K</u>		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
NASAR AHMED AND BEGUM INYAT		DOCKET NO.	
Pla	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH	ORT FORM")
		COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Def	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			9
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth,	nerein in additionse are marked wi	n to those paragraphs ith an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual

Plaintiffs, NASAR AHMED AND BEGUM INYAT, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

		,	Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and
citizen of New	York residing at 51-55 V	/ankleeck Street, Apt # 41	D, Elmhurst, NY 11373
		(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this clair	n in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
		, , ,	

	Case 1:07-cv-04262-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11	
_	t 51-55 Vankleeck Street, Apt # 4D, Elmhu red Plaintiff: ✓ SPOUSE at all relevant times I NASAR AHMED, and brings injuries sustained by her husba	r the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New York arst, NY 11373-, and has the following relationship herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff NASAR AHMED. Other:	
4. of Transpo	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 3/1/20 ortation as a laborer at:	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for NYC Department	
Location(s From on o	orld Trade Center Site s) (i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) or about _9/11/2001_ until _3/1/2002_; nately _12_ hours per day; for	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
Approximately _120_ days total. ===================================		Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
From on o Approxim Approxim	esh Kills Landfill or about until; nately hours per day; for nately days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
*Continu		apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ringested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	<u> </u>	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	

6.

Injured	1 Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 11/2/06 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION ☑ DECUTEL ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 11/2/06	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
	<u> </u>

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04262-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil ——— remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 III CAUSES Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above bility, and asserts each element necessary to est	urisdiut the U.S.C	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441. ACTION d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined
\	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-04262-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: <u>N/A.</u>		Cardiovascular Injury: <u>N/A.</u>
	Date of onset:		Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work:
\checkmark	Respiratory Injury: Respiratory Problems;	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Fear of Cancer
	Shortness of Breath		Date of onset: <u>1/7/2005</u>
	Date of onset: <u>1/7/2005</u>		Date physician first connected this injury
	Date physician first connected this injury to		to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		date
	Digestive Injury: <u>N/A.</u>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Other Injury: <u>N/A.</u>
	Date of onset:		Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	istive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
Groui	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	•	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the he future suffer the following compensable
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	•	
dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:	•	
dama ==== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering	•	
dama ===== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of	•	
dama ===== ✓ ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		
dama ==== ✓ ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of		
dama ===== ✓ ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and		

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 5, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Nasar Ahmed and Begum Inyat

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

March 5, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docker	t No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	NASAR AHMED (AND WIFE, BEGUM INYAT),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
===	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP